UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
KAI JOCHIMS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	X : :
Plaintiff, v.	: 21 Civ. 6360 (AKH)
OATLY GROUP AB, TONI PETERSSON, CHRISTIAN HANKE, FREDRIK BERG, STEVEN CHU, ANN CHUNG, BERNARD HOURS, HANNAH JONES, MATTIAS KLINTEMAR, PO SING (TOMAKIN) LAI, ERIC MELLOUL, BJORN OSTE, FRANCES RATHKE, YAWEN WU, and TIM ZHANG,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
Defendants.	: : X
FRANCESCA BENTLEY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	X : :
Plaintiff,	:
OATLY GROUP AB, TONI PETERSSON, CHRISTIAN HANKE, FREDRIK BERG, STEVEN CHU, ANN CHUNG, BERNARD HOURS, HANNAH JONES, MATTIAS KLINTEMAR, PO SING (TOMAKIN) LAI, ERIC MELLOUL, BJORN OSTE, FRANCES RATHKE, YAWEN WU, and TIM ZHANG, Defendants.	: : :
ANTHONY KOSTENDT, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	: :
Plaintiff,	:
V.	: 21 Civ. 7904 (AKH)
OATLY GROUP AB, TONI PETERSSON, CHRISTIAN HANKE, FREDRIK BERG, STEVEN CHU, ANN CHUNG, BERNARD	: : :

HOURS, HANNAH JONES, MATTIAS KLINTEMAR, PO SING (TOMAKIN) LAI, ERIC:

MELLOUL, BJORN OSTE, FRANCES RATHKE, YAWEN WU, and TIM ZHANG,

Defendants.

----- X

ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.:

On December 6, 2021, I issued an Order consolidating the above-captioned cases

No. 37). On December 20, 2021, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP filed a motion for

reconsideration on behalf of their clients, Mark D. Hayden and Bruce R. Hipple, urging me to

and appointing Mario Bello as lead plaintiff, and the Scott+Scott Firm, as lead counsel. (ECF

reconsider my December 6 Order and to appoint Hayden and Hipple as co-lead plaintiffs, and

Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, as lead counsel. (ECF No. 42).

The motion is denied. The clients on behalf of whom Robbins Geller Rudman &

Dowd LLP acts have not filed complaints in this matter; they say that they were purchasers of

securities in the class period — one of ADRs and the other of call options, that therefore they are

members of the class, and that, if their claims were aggregated, they would be lead counsel.

The call options raise claims and defenses different from purchasers of ADRs,

and cannot be paired. The party who claims ADR losses does not have as great a financial

interest as the lead plaintiff. The claims of purchasers of calls are not typical in material respects

to the claims of the purchasers of ADRs.

It may be appropriate later to appoint a class representative for a sub-class of

purchasers of call options. Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP can move at that time.

The parties shall appear for an initial pre-trial conference on January 28, 2022, at

10 a.m., which will be held via the following call-in number:

Call-in number: 888-363-4749

Access code: 7518680

2

To ensure that the call proceeds smoothly and to avoid disruption, the Court directs those calling in (other than counsel) to mute their telephones. Additionally, all participants are directed to call in **5 minutes** prior to the start of the conference.

Finally, no later than January 26, 2022, at 12:00 p.m., the parties shall jointly submit to the court (via the email address HellersteinNYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov) a list of all counsel expected to appear on the record, along with their contact information.

CONCLUSION

The motion for reconsideration is denied. The Clerk of the Court shall terminate ECF No. 42.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 24, 2022

New York, New York

/s/ Alvin K. Hellerstein
ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN
United States District Judge